

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UN. AD STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,767	07/12/2001	Robert Noodelijk	CHRE: 110	9584
75	90 09/10/2002			
PARKHURST & WENDEL SUITE 210 1421 PRINCE STREET			EXAMINER	
			GRUNBERG, ANNE MARIE	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1661	11
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002	· Y

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applican(s)

09/902,767

Robert Noodelijk

Examiner

Anne Marie Grunberg

Art Unit 1661



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period	for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
- Extens	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). If date of this communication.	n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.		
- It NO	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication		
- Any re	pply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status	Paterit to dajasaris it			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 12, 2			
2a) ∐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) X This action	tion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
_	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1	is/are pending in the application.		
	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1	is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s)			
	Claims			
	tion Papers			
9) 💢	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)💢	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)		is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) 🗀	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:			
	$\Box$ Certified copies of the priority documents have			
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No		
		ocuments have been received in this National Stage		
*Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	e certified copies not received.		
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
a) 🗌	are the terret of the foreign language provisions			
15)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachme				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
o, [] ιποι	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Nother: fequirement 40- Information 8105		

Art Unit: 1661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

The drawings have been accepted by an official draftsperson.

#### **Arrangement of the Specification**

- 1. With the passage of the American Inventors' Protection Act, Applicants' attention is drawn to 37 CFR 1.163(c)-(d) which is reproduced below:
- 37 CFR 1.163. Specification and arrangement of application elements in a plant application.
- (c) The specification should include the following sections in order:
- (1) Title of the invention, which may include an introductory portion stating the name, citizenship, and residence of the applicant.
- (2) Cross-reference to related applications (unless included in the application data sheet).
- (3) Statement regarding federally sponsored research or development.
- (4) Latin name of the genus and species of the plant claimed.
- (5) Variety denomination.
- (6) Background of the invention.

Page 3

Serial Number: 09/902,767

Art Unit: 1661

(7) Brief summary of the invention.

(8) Brief description of the drawing.

(9) Detailed botanical description.

(10) A single claim.

(11) Abstract of the disclosure.

(d) The text of the specification or sections defined in paragraph (c) of this section, if applicable,

should be preceded by a section heading in upper case, without underlining or bold type.

### Objection to the Disclosure

#### 37 CFR 1.163

The following is a quotation of section (a) of 37 CFR 1.163:

(a) The specification must contain as full and complete a disclosure as possible of the plant and the

characteristics thereof that distinguish the same over related known varieties, and its antecedents, and must

particularly point out where and in what manner the variety of plant has been asexually reproduced. In the

case of a newly found plant, the specification must particularly point out the location and character of the

area where the plant was discovered.

#### 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1661

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

In plant applications filed under 35 U.S.C. 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112 are limited.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

As specific to United States Plant Patent applications, the specifics of 37 CFR 1.164 (reproduced below) are controlling:

The claim shall be in formal terms to the new and distinct variety of the specified plant as described and illustrated, and may also recite the principal distinguishing characteristics. More than one claim is not permitted.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 162:

No plant patent shall be declared invalid for noncompliance with section 112 of this title if the description is as complete as is reasonably possible. The claim in the specification shall be in formal terms to the plant shown and described.

The disclosure is objected to under 35 CFR 1.163(a) and under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification presents less than a full, clear, and complete botanical description of the plant and the characteristics which define same per se and which distinguish the plant from related known cultivars and antecedents.

More Specifically:

Art Unit: 1661

A. Although Applicant has set forth the color chart employed, it appears that there is a misspelling in the name. The correct name should be "The Royal Horticultural Society Colour Chart" followed by the edition.

- B. The title of the invention needs to be changed to --A Chrysanthemum Plant Named 'White Elite Reagan'-- as the invention is not directed to the flower. See MPEP 1610.
- C. It is not clear what "+/-" denotes in describing bud size, style and foliage size, for instance. Applicant should additionally reconsider the botanical classification of the instant plant as it appears that --Chrysanthemum morifolium -- is more appropriate than "Dendranthema grandiflora".
- D. In order to provide as complete a botanical description as is reasonably possible, Applicant should set forth the plant width, branch length and width, petiole length, and a description of the calyx. Additionally, Applicant is requested to identify other plants in the specification by patent number.
- E. Where color is a distinctive feature of the plant, the color should be positively identified in the specification by reference to a designated color as given by

Art Unit: 1661

a recognized color dictionary or color chart. As a result, calyx color, vein color, and petiole color, should be set forth in the specification.

F. There are several unclear statements or discrepancies that are confusing and need to be clarified or corrected. At page 3, in the paragraph describing 'White Reagan Mundo', it is unclear whether the flower disc of 'White Reagan Mundo' is not so small, or whether it is referring to 'White Elite Reagan'. The growth rate statement needs to be clarified for the same reasons. Clarification or correction is required.

Additionally, at page 5, it states that the blooming period is "26-28 days", however at page 2, it states that the bloom stays on the plant for "5 weeks".

Clarification is required.

It is also unclear what is meant at page 10 where it states the "Required minimum night temperature". What is this temperature required for? How long is this minimum requirement? Does this mean that the lowest this temperature can be at night is 14°? Or does this mean that the maximum temperature at night can be no more than 14°?

Art Unit: 1661

Page 7

G. In the declaration, an "RSA" country code is listed in the prior foreign

application information. The Examiner could find no reference to a country code

"RSA". Applicant should verify this is the correct country code.

The above listing may not be complete. Applicant should carefully review the

disclosure and import into same any corrected or additional information which would

aid in botanically identifying and/or distinguishing the cultivar for which United Sates

Plant Patent protection is sought.

**Claim Rejections** 

35 U.S.C. 112, 1st & 2nd Paragraphs

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs as not

being supported by a clear and complete botanical description of the plant for the

reasons set forth in the Objection to the Disclosure Section above.

Information Assessment

1. The claimed Chrysanthemum plant is described in the following Plant

Breeder's Right numbers: NL PBR CHR2752, PL PBR CHO0048, and QZ PBR

Art Unit: 1661

970499, most of which are specified in the declaration. The Dutch PBR has a published application filing date of 16 May 1996. The European PBR has a published application filing date of 15 June 1997, and the Polish PBR has a published application date of 31 March 1999. These dates are more than one year prior to the filing date of the instant application. The published grant, application and published proposed denomination are each "printed publications" under 35 U.S.C. 102 because they are accessible to persons concerned with the art to which the document relates.

See *In re Wyer*, 655 F.2d 221, 226, 210 USPQ 790, 794 (CCPA 1981). See also MPEP § 2128. For example, UPOV publishes the application number and grant number, date of publication, species of plant and variety denomination for PBR certificates, and copies of the grant are obtainable through the Board of Plant Breeders' Rights of the countries in question and is public information. Thus information regarding the claimed variety, in the form of the publications noted above, was readily available to interested persons of ordinary skill in the art.

A printed publication can serve as a statutory bar under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) if the reference, combined with knowledge in the prior art, would enable one of ordinary skill in the art to reproduce the claimed plant. *In re LeGrice*, 301 F.2d 929, 133 USPQ 365 (CCPA 1962). If one skilled in the art could reproduce the plant from a publicly available source, then a publication describing the plant would have an

Art Unit: 1661

enabling disclosure. See *Ex parte Thomson*, 24 USPQ2d 1618, 1620 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992)("The issue is not whether the [claimed] cultivar Siokra was on public use or sale in the United States but, rather, whether Siokra seeds were available to a skilled artisan anywhere in the world such that he/she could attain them and make/reproduce the Siokra cultivar disclosed in the cited publications.").

While the publications cited above disclose the claimed plant variety, a question remains as to whether the references are enabling. If the plant was publicly available, then the application, proposed denomination or granted PBR certificate, combined with knowledge in the prior art, would enable one or ordinary skill in the art to reproduce the claimed plant. The ability of the Office to determine whether the claimed plant was publicly available is limited. Search of electronic databases, the Internet and the Office's collection of retail catalogs has not revealed information pertaining to the instant plant. However, the Office's collection of retail catalogs is not comprehensive. Furthermore, the claimed plant may have been sold at the wholesale level, sold under a different name, or even distributed to interested parties free of charge. Since the inventor and assignee of the instant application are in a better position to know when, if ever, the claimed plant was made publicly available, the Examiner is requiring this information in the attached Requirement for Information Under 37 CFR 1.105.

Serial Number: 09/902,767 Page 10

Art Unit: 1661

This Office action has an attached requirement for information under 37 CFR 1.105.

A complete reply to this Office action must include a complete response to the

attached requirement for information. The time period for reply to the attached

requirement coincides with the time period for reply to this Office action.

**Future Correspondence** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to Anne Marie Grünberg whose telephone number is (703) 305-0805. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 am to 3:30 pm and alternate Fridays from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached at (703) 308-4205. The fax phone number for the group is (703) 305-3014 or 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

ANNE MARIE GRUNBERG
PATENT EXAMINER

**ATTACHMENT** 

REQUIREMENT FOR INFORMATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.105

Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the examination of this application.

The information is required to determine when, the claimed plant variety, 'White Elite Reagan', was publicly available prior to the filing date of the instant application.

In response to this requirement please provide any information available regarding the sale or other public distribution of the claimed plant variety anywhere in the world, including the date(s) of any sale or other public distribution. Also, please provide copies of the application, published proposed denomination and published Breeder's Right grant. The Office does not maintain a collection of Breeders' Rights documents and they are not readily obtainable electronically.

The fee and certification requirements of 37 CFR 1.97 are waived for those documents submitted in reply to this requirement. This waiver extends only to those documents within the scope of this requirement under 37 CFR 1.105 that are included in the applicant's first complete communication responding to this requirement. Any

Art Unit: 1661

supplemental replies subsequent to the first communication responding to this requirement and any information disclosures beyond the scope of this requirement under 37 CFR 1.105 are subject to the fee and certification requirements of 37 CFR 1.97.

The applicant is reminded that the reply to this requirement must be made with candor and good faith under 37 CFR 1.56. Where the applicant does not have or cannot readily obtain an item of required information, a statement that the item is unknown or cannot be readily obtained will be accepted as a complete response to the requirement for that item.

This requirement is an attachment of the enclosed Office action. A complete reply to the enclosed Office action must include a complete response to this requirement. The time period for reply to this requirement coincides with the time period for reply to the enclosed Office action, which is 3 months.

ANNE MARIE GRUNBERG
PATENT EXAMINER

Page 12